Detuber 28,2020

Horr. Paul G. Gardephe United States Pistrict Judge Southern Pistrict of New York. 40 Foley Square New York, NY 10007

RE: Schilte v. BOP, 20 Cir. 2795 (966)

Dear Judge Gardephe.

The plantiff respectfully submits two motions to the court accompanying this letter. First and foremost, the Metropolitan Correctional Center (MCC) incarcevatives the plaintiff is corrently belaying the believe of legal and court mail for several mostles at a time plantiff access to the courts. Therefore the plaintiff access to the courts. Therefore the plaintiff mails for an injection to compel Mcc to plaintiff.

Secondly, the plantiff moves to compel the defendants to produce electronic discovery from the incident contral to this litigation. The plaintiff MD multiple buyers sent preservation regiests shortly after the Main structure force, the MCC should have preserve the video recordings from this date; therefore, the MCC should have preserved these videos and if it did not there should be source consequences. The plaintiff subsequently served the defendants with multiple discovery hereards present to Fail R. Ch. P 34 that were somely reported. Accordingly, the plaintiff moves to compel discovery present to Fail R. Ch. P. 31(a)

10/29/20 Justice Aden Setulte

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COLFT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

October 28, 70 20

Joshua Man Schilte, Phintiff,

20-CV-2795 (PGG)

Boreau of Pisons, et al. Defendants. P.1/3

MOTION FOR INJUNCTION TO COMPEL DEFENDANCE TO DELIVER LEGAL MAIL TIMELY AND WITHOUT INSPECTION

Joshua Adam Schulte, Glantiff, Moves this court to compel the defectants to comply with existing federal law and Supreme Court precedent recognizing the right of access to the courts. The MCC is currently disaying the delivery of legal mail from the courts for menths. The plantiff recoursed Mail from this Box with "Notice: Opened as Gereral Correspondence for special Mail Requirements. See 28 Ciff. 540.19." This issue is a longisteriding resue that the plantiff responsed in other civil litigations and his angoing command case, and has repeatedly tried and failed to address through offer mans including administrative remady. The MCC considers Mail from the courts and affording to be subject to inspection, and they do not recognize any responsibility to be subject to inspection, and they do not recognize any responsibility to be subject to inspection, and they do not recognize any responsibility to be subject to inspection, and they do not recognize any responsibility to be subject to inspection, and they do not recognize any responsibility to be subject to inspection, and they do not recognize any responsibility to be the curent court of parabura as it originates from the time the plantiff was designated just Special Administrative Measures (SAMs) from the Albumy-

ARGUMENT

P.2/3

It is well established that phisoress have a Constitutional right to access the courts. Bounds v. Smith, 430 U.S. 817, 821 (1977). "The pight of acress to the courts requires that prisoners defending against criminal charges or convictions (either freetly or collaterally) or challenging the conditions of their Confinement... not be impeded from prosenting these defenses and claims for formal adjudication by a court." Bourdon v Loughten, 386 F. 32 88,96 (2d - Cir. Zoort). This right protects prisoners engaged in civil litigation against the prisons to ensure Due Process guaranteed by the Fifth Amendment. "Process, like non-prisoners, have a constitutional right of oness to the courts and to petition the government for the redress of grievances, and prison affroids may Not retaliate against prisoners for the exercise of that righting Colony. Cough lin 58 F. 38 365, 872 (28. Cir. 1995). Delaying Mail from this Court for months effectively denies the path plantiff occess to the courts because he cannot meet court deadlines or readily engage in the litigation; indeeds the litigation will be unrecessarily delayed and the plaintiff unduly prejudiced.

The MCC's arguments for belaying mail are basoless and absord. Stims were imposed on Mr. Schule with the purported goal of preventing him from Communicating Classified information to others (lespite him never long so). However, it is IMPOSSIBLE for Mr. Schulte to transmit classified information to others by RECEIVENCE MAIL from this court. Dence, this prestriction is not related to a legitimate governmental objective. Furthermore, the MCC loops not open, inspect, or diay court and legal mail from other immates— it is imposed arbitrarily upon the plantiff and other SAMs inmates. Accordingly, the MCCs inspection, and delay of court and legal mail fails the Bell in Wolfish, 441 U.S. 520 (1479), test and is linconstitutional.

RELIEF REQUESTED

P 3/3

1) Plantiff requests the Court 1350e an injunction compelling the NCE to deliver all legal mail, defined to be mail from this court and attorneys, promptly and without inspection to the plantiff

2.) Planifif requests that the MCC document when it recover and delivers legal Mail to the plaintiff through by books and established process that it already uses (albert spaintly). This process includes documenting the late the legal mail is received and the late the legal wail is delivered to the plaintiff accompanied by his signature

Respectfully Submitted,

Joshua Nan Schilte, Plaintiff.

And Schulte 10/28/20

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRACT OF NEW YORK

October 28, 2020

Joshu Alam Schulte, Plaintff,

20-CV-2795 (PGG)

Bureau of Misons, et al.,
Defendants.

P.1/2

MOTION TO COMPEL (Fed. R. Ch. P. 57(6))

Joshus Plan Schulte, plan 4ff, moves the court for an order compelling the defendants to provide disclosures requested pursuant to Rile 3Kb) of the Federal Rules of Chil Accedure. The defendants failed to respond within the allotted time, and therefore the plantiff moves to coupel pursuant to Rule 376).

GROWNDS FOR RELIEF

Facts of the Case

1.) On 3/8/20, the plaintff was assaulted, illegally searched, and subject to creek IND Unusual purishment as outlined in the mitial Complaint.

L) From 3/8/20 through 9/18/20, the Defendant and Defense attorneye issued inverous preservation requests to the Mci, Bof, and solicitor General fer the preservation of the video and audio captured of the assault control to this compaint.

3) The Plaintiff filed the complaint in April 2020.

11.) From April through 9/18/20, the defendant issued numerous requests to the Mac, 20%, and Solventor General for the production of the video and audio captured of the assault central to this complaint.

50 On 4/18/20, the plaintiff served the Defendants via attorney Kiti v. Reddy a renewed request ander Fed. R. Co. F. 346) requesting "All video and audio from Sunday, March 3, 2020 from midnight to noon of the plaintiff and all other SAME inmotes on the 10th floor of MCC +145 the video feotoge should include feeds from the los cens, the 103 unit, 93, the 9th floor Solly Port, RED, and all other locations that the plaintiff and other SAHs inmotes were bright during the Specified time."

6.) The beforents filled to proposed with the previsite 30 days allotted by Rele

Certificate of Compliance

7) In accordance with Rive 37(0x1), the Murat contitions that he has attempted to resolve this dispute in good faith through multiple discovery regrests to the defendants.

Legal Standards

8.) Federal Rule 37 provides that if a party fails to Make a disclusive required by Rule 3460, any other party may move to compet declosure and for appropriate Sanctions. Fed. K. Ch. P. 37(9)(3/A).

Documents Regressed

9.) All video and audio from Sinday, March B, 2020 from Midnight to now. of the plaintiff and all office sams involves on the 10th floor of MCC NY; the video footage should motule feels from the IUS cells, the ICS unit, 9s, the 9th floor Solly Part, RAD, and all other locations that the plaintiff and other 3/14 moves were brought Juring the specifical time.

Expectfully Schwitters

10/28/20 Joshua Wan Schultes Al Scholte Paintiff.